

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/028,580		12/20/2001	Brian R. Janes	01-659US	3268
719	7590	02/10/2004		EXAMINER	
CATERPII 100 N.E. AI	١.		LOWE, MICHAEL S		
	PATENT DEPT.				PAPER NUMBER
PEORIA, II	616296	490	3652		

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· •							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/028,580	JANES ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	M. Scott Lowe	3652					
The MAIL ING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FiLED 20 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandment whicles	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
NOTE: For example claim 12 adds the limitation	"each having a different thickness"	<u>, </u>					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and an w or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 36-46.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
10. Other:		M lus					
	;	EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600					